

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/938,629	Applicant(s) YADA ET AL.	
	Examiner Dipakkumar Gandhi	Art Unit 2133	

All Participants:

(1) Dipakkumar Gandhi.

(2) Mitchell W. Shapiro.
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 31 March 2005
Time: _____

Type of Interview:


- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:
Abstract correction was discussed.
Claims discussed:
Prior art documents discussed:
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
The application has been amended as follows: In line 9 of the abstract, "ECC codes can b avoided" is corrected to -ECC codes can be avoided--. The applicant's attorney Mitchell W. Shapiro agreed.
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(_____
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)